

Pribilof Islands Ecosystem Stakeholder Process Organizing Committee
June 10, 2003, 9 am teleconference

Agenda and meeting summary

Agenda:

1. Discuss next steps in collaborative process
2. Draft list of potential stakeholders

Meeting Summary:

Present: Randy, Evie, Larry, Margaret, Greg

1. Committee reviewed consensus from last week's meeting regarding next steps. All present agreed, with the following clarifications, confirmations:
 - The committee will draft a strawman (draft process objectives, design, rational approach to identifying stakeholder entities and representatives, etc.) for participants to comment on, change as deemed necessary.
 - The committee will not choose stakeholders or stakeholder representatives, rather the committee will refine the list of potential stakeholder groups drafted at the November 2002 meeting, and leave it up to those groups to decide if they want to participate and if so, who their representative will be.
2. The committee reviewed the list of potential stakeholder groups drafted at the November 2002 meeting and made the following refinements (edits represent changes to original list):

Potential Stakeholder list (from Nov. 2002 meeting brainstorming session):

- ♦ St. Paul and St. George communities, including:
 - Tribal governments
 - Cities
 - Fisherman's Associations
 - Corporations
- ♦ too broad; people should represent a constituency
will be encouraged to observe, but will be represented by adults(Stakeholder list, continued...)
- ♦ represented by fisherman's associations Subsistence harvesters, hunters and fishermen
- ♦ not a core stakeholder not a core stakeholder, but may be asked to contribute expertise Scientists/researchers ? stakeholder or resources to the process? Covered under agencies below?
- ♦ CDQ groups
- ♦ Agencies, including: May choose to observe vs. participate due to mandates & FACA
 - ADF&G
 - USFWS
 - NMFS
 - IPHC (typo correction only)
 - ♦ has no jurisdiction Conservation organizations, including: Consensus that other environmental interests should be represented, but no conclusions about which groups
 - World Wildlife Fund

- The Nature Conservancy
 - The Oceans Conservancy
 - Alaska Oceans Network – noted that this is a network of diverse conservation & environmental groups, not an interest group per se
 - Alaska Marine Conservation Council
 - ◆ Commercial fishermen, including: Larry recommended the following categories:
 - Catcher vessels (shoreside)
 - At-Sea Processors
 - Crab vessels
 - Shoreside processors
 - Freezer-longliner vessels
 - Head & Gut vessels
 - ◆ Marine Conservation Alliance
 - ◆ no direct interests in Pribilofs – covered by inclusion of TDX, Tanaq, and CDQ groups (owners) – too broad, individuals should represent a well-defined constituency
- Other Bering Sea coastal communities
not a core stakeholder, but will encourage observation of process by children – more a resource group to the process than a direct stakeholder.